

The effect of rifampicin-based antitubercular therapy and co-trimoxazole on the population pharmacokinetics of stavudine (d4T) in HIV-1 infected patients

J-S van der Walt¹, K Cohen¹, HM McIlleron¹, PJ Smith¹, G Maartens¹, MO Karlsson²

¹ Division of Clinical Pharmacology, University of Cape Town, South Africa, ² Department of Biopharmaceutical Biosciences, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden

BACKGROUND AND AIMS

- · The coadministration of antiretroviral and antitubercular therapy is known to result in drug-drug interactions due primarily to the induction of metabolising enzymes and drug transporters by rifampicin.1,2
- Nucleoside analogue reverse transcriptase inhibitors, including stavudine (d4T), are
- predominantly eliminated by renal tubular secretion and not affected by this interaction.³ Co-trimoxazole reduces the apparent clearance of lamivudine (3TC), but an interaction
- with stavudine has not been reported.4 The aims of our analysis were to describe the population pharmacokinetics of stavudine during and after antitubercular treatment, to assess the effects of co-trimoxazole on stavudine pharmacokinetics and to quantify the between-occasion variability in this population.

METHODS

DATA

- Stavudine concentration-time data from 16 patients on antiretroviral therapy containing d4T, 3TC and nevirapine, during and at least 10 days after completing rifampicin-based antitubercular therapy, were available for pharmacokinetic modelling.^{5,6}
- Blood samples collected at 0 (pre-dose) and at 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 4, 6, 10 and 12 hours after a fasted dose were analysed.
- Blood samples were analysed using a validated LC/MS-MS method (LOQ set at 20 ng/mL).

PHARMACOKINETIC MODELLING

- One- and two-compartment models with first-order absorption and first-order elimination from the central compartment were fitted to the data.
- Between-subject (BSV) and between-occasion (BOV) variability was modelled using an exponential model.
- Residual variability was modeled using a combined additive and proportional error model.
- The effects of concomitant medications were modelled as categorical covariates:

$$P_i = \theta_{pop} \cdot (1 + INTER) \cdot e^{BSV + BOV}$$

The parameter value of the i^{th} individual (P) was described by the population parameter value ($\theta_{\mu\alpha\beta}$), an interaction term to describe the effect of concomitant therapy (INTER), and the random effects(η) comprising both BSV and BOV. The value of INTER was fixed to zero in the absence of concomitant therapy.

- The first-order conditional estimation method with η-ε interaction (FOCE INTER) of NONMEM version VI (level 2.0) was used to estimate typical population values.
- Models were compared using the NONMEM objective function value (OFV), goodness-offit plots and scientific plausibility. A decrease in the OFV of more than 3.84 was considered significant (p < 0.05) for model improvement at one degree of freedom.
- Models were evaluated with the nonparametric bootstrap method (1000 samples) and visual predictive checks (1000 samples) using Perl-speaks-NONMEM.

RESULTS

- A one-compartment disposition model with absorption lag time best described the data.
- · The pharmacokinetics of stavudine in this population was highly variable.
- · Adding between-occasion variability in the relative bioavailability and ka improved the model fit (OFV decreased by 18.45 points).
- Antitubercular therapy did not have a significant effect the relative bioavailability or absorption rate of stavudine. During antitubercular therapy:
- bioavailability was 3% lower (95%% CI: -24%, 17%)
- K₂ was 30% higher (95% CI -71%, 131%).
- During antitubercular treatment 14 of 16 patients used co-trimoxazole prophylaxis and 10 patients remained on prophylaxis after completion of antitubercular treatment.
- Stavudine clearance was 18% higher (95% CI: 3% 32%) in patients taking concomitant co-trimoxazole. However, improvement in health after completing antitubercular treatment and the small sample size should be taken into account.

CONCLUSIONS

- Rifampicin-based antitubercular therapy did not significantly affect the population pharmacokinetics of stavudine.
- In patients using co-trimoxazole and stavudine concomitantly, the apparent clearance of stavudine increased by 18% (95% CI 3% - 32%,). Although stavudine dose adjustment is unlikely when coadministered with co-trimoxazole, this interaction may be relevant as lower doses of stavudine are now recommended to reduce toxicity.

FIGURE 1

Stavudine concentrations (nmol/mL) vs time after dose (h) during and after rifampicin-based antitubercular (TB) therapy. Observed data are superimposed on the 50th (solid line), 5th and 95th percentiles (dashed lines) of the observed data. The coloured areas represent the 95% CI of the 5th, 50th and 95th percentiles of the simulated data (1000 data sets), respectively After TB treatment

PAGE 2009

Poster 58





TABLE 1

Population pharmacokinetics parameters.

Population pharmacokinetic parameters	Base model	Final model with effect of anti- tubercular and co- trimoxazole therapy	Nonparametric Bootstrap of Final model (N=1000)
	Mean (%RSE)	Mean (%RSE)	Median (%RSE)
NONMEM OFV	2307.07	2297.738	2303.191
CL/F (L/h)	17.8 (7.13)	15.2 (12.1)	14.9 (8.6)
V/F (L)	33 .5 (6.42)	32.2 (10.7)	32.3 (6.4)
k _a (/h)	11.1 (39.5)	10.6 (55.6)	9.5 (135.1)
Absorption lag time (h)	0.41 (3.91)	0.41 (5.41)	0.43 (13.5)
Effect of antitubercular therap	ογ		
Effect on k _a (95% CI)	NE	0.30 (-0.71 - 1.31)	0.28 (-0.68 - 1.20)
Effect on F (95% CI)	NE	-0.03 (-0.24 - 0.17)	-0.01 (-0.20 - 0.17)
Effect of co-trimoxazole			
Effect on CL/F	NE	0.18 (0.03 -0.32)	0.20 (-0.03 -0.41)
Between-subject variability (9	6CV)		
ω ² CL/F	16.95% (43.4)	15.9% (44.7)	14.8% (44.4)
ω² k _a	124.5% (46.4)	142.7% (52.9)	138.2% (97.6)
ω ² F	15.1% (111)	15.1% (100)	15.3% (60.3)
Between-occasion variability	(%CV)		
ω² k _a	43.6% (79.5)	26.9% (112)	29.2% (164.7)
ω ² F	17.8 % (45.6)	16.1% (47.3)	14.3% (66.4)
η-shrinkage (%)			
ω ² BSV CL/F	8%	9%	NC
ω ² BSV k _a	19%	14%	NC
ω ² BSV F	39%	37%	NC
ω ² BOV F	12%	15%	NC
ω ² BOV k _a	61%	76%	NC
Residual error			
σ _{proportional}	0.27 (8.4)	0.27 (8.5)	0.25 (12.8)
$\sigma_{additive}$ (ng/mL)	9.7 (14.1)	9.7 (13.9)	10.5 (93.2)
ε-shrinkage (%)	11%	10%	NC

RSE = relative standard error

NC = not calculated NE = not estimated

REFERENCES

- 1. Barrett JS, Labbé L, Pfister M. Application and impact of population pharmacokinetics in the
- assessment of antiretroviral pharmacotherapy. Clin Pharmacokinet. 2005;44(6):591-625. 2. Chen J, Raymond K. Roles of rifampicin in drug-drug interactions: underlying molecular nechanisms involving the nuclear pregnane X receptor. Ann Clin Microbiol Antimicrob. 2006 Feb 15;5:3. Review.
- 3. Johnson MA, Moore KHP, Yuen GJ, Bye A, Pakes GE. Clinical Pharmacokinetics of lamivudine. Clin Pharmacokinet 1999 Jan; 36 (1): 41-66.
- 4. Sabo JP, Lamson MJ, Leitz G, Yong CL, MacGregor TR. Pharmacokinetics of nevirapine and lamivudine in patients with HIV-1 infection. AAPS PharmSci. 2000;2(1):E1.
- 5. Cohen K, van Cutsem G, Boulle A, McIlleron H, Goemaere E, Smith PJ, Maartens G. Effect of rifampicin-based antitubercular therapy on nevirapine plasma concentrations in South African adults with HIV-associated tuberculosis, J Antimicrob Chemother, 2008 Feb: 61(2):389-93.
- 6. Elsherbiny D, Cohen K, Jansson B, Smith P, McIlleron H, Simonsson US. Population pharmacokinetics of nevirapine in combination with rifampicin-based short course chemotherapy in HIV- and tuberculosis-infected South African patients. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 2009 Jan; 65(1):71-80