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Salmon production - background

- In Scotland 14 tonnes in 1971, 158000 tonnes in 2012
- Scotland’s largest food export and goes to over 60 countries
- Scotland’s National Marine Plan is for 210000 tonnes by 2020
- Other leading producers are Norway, Chile, Canada, USA and Ireland
- Major constraint is sea lice
Sea lice - background

- Parasitic copepods
- Heavy infestation - fish health problems
- Enormous cost to European, North and South American salmon industries
  - treatment costs
  - mortalities
  - down-grade at harvest
  - poor growth / low Feed Conversion Ratio

- Implications for wild salmon and sea trout
Sea lice - species

- *Lepeophtheirus salmonis* (Scotland, Ireland, Norway, North America)
- *Caligus elongatus* (multiple hosts)
- *Caligus clemensi* (BC / western Canada)
- *Caligus rogercresseyi* (Chile)

- in Scotland  
  - *L. salmonis*  
  - on farm  
  - re-infestation  
  - endemic  
  - *C. elongatus*  
  - external  
  - pressure  
  - epidemic
Typical sea lice population growth on European salmon farms

Abundance of mobile lice

- **L. salmonis**
- **C. elongatus**
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Modelling the sea lice life-cycle
First Model: Compartmental population model for *L. salmonis*

- Initially considered a ‘full’ 10-stage biological model

- Too complex, didn’t work, too many parameters to fit, too many unknowns!

- Simplified to 6 stages (chalimus, pre-adult, adult, gravid female, egg, external infection)
Population model simple structure

- External Infective Pressure
- Eggs and Planktonic Stages
- Chalimus I-IV
- Pre-Adult
- Gravid Female
- Adult
Population model mathematical equations

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dn_1}{dt}(t) &= R_1(t) - R_1(t - \tau_1)e^{-b_1(t)} - b_1(t)n_1(t) \\
\frac{dn_2}{dt}(t) &= \eta R_1(t - \tau_1)e^{-b_1(t)} - \eta R_1(t - \tau_2)e^{-b_2(t)} - b_2(t)n_2(t) \\
\frac{dn_3}{dt}(t) &= \eta R_1(t - \tau_1 - \tau_2)e^{-b_2(t)} - \eta R_1(t - \tau_3)e^{-b_3(t)} - b_3(t)n_3(t) \\
\frac{dn_4}{dt}(t) &= \eta R_1(t - \tau_1 - \tau_2 - \tau_3)e^{-b_3(t)} - b_4(t)n_4(t)
\end{align*}
\]

| \(n_1\) is the number of chalimus per fish, | \(b_1\) is the mortality rate in the chalimus stage, |
| \(n_2\) is the number of pre-adult female per fish, | \(b_2\) is the mortality rate in the pre-adult stages, |
| \(n_3\) is the number of adult female per fish, | \(b_3\) is the mortality rate in the adult stage, |
| \(n_4\) is the number of gravid female per fish, | \(b_4\) is the mortality rate in the gravid female stage, |
| \(t_1\) is the time spent in the chalimus stage, | \(R_1\) is the population feedback and external source term, |
| \(t_2\) is the time spent in the pre-adult stages, | \(h\) is the fraction of the pre-adult population that develop into females. |
Implementation of population model for *L. salmonis*

SLiDESim (Sea Lice Difference Equation Simulation)

- Equations implemented in software with estimated parameters for:
  - development and mortality rates
  - background infection pressure
  - treatment timings and efficacy
Making the SLiDESim model operational
Using chemical and other treatments to control sea lice infections

- Hydrogen peroxide
- Bath treatments - *Excis* (cypermethrin)
- In-feed treatment - *Slice* (emamectin benzoate)
- Constraints: commercial and environmental
- Use of synchronised treatment within area management agreements
- When and how often to treat?
- Use Infection Pressure (IP) as measure of effectiveness
What the model predicts when using FOUR *Excis* treatments i.e. treat in weeks 42,48,69,75

![Graph showing predicted IP values for different treatment schedules.](image-url)
What the model predicts when using FIVE *Excis* treatments i.e. treat in weeks 39, 46, 64, 78, 87

- 39, 46, 64, 70, 87 - IP = 157.0 (Optimal)
- 43, 50, 65, 70, 87 - IP = 180.3
- 46, 52, 64, 70, 85 - IP = 203.3
- National EXCIS average - IP = 330.5
Results from compartmental modelling

- You can find effective combinations of treatment numbers and timing for different compounds
- You can carry out multifactorial investigations
- You cannot easily include stochasticity
- You cannot easily include water temperature effects and stage development
- You cannot easily include pulses of external infections
- You cannot easily adapt to new ways of controlling sea lice
Sea lice - the use of cleaner fish

- Decrease sea lice
- Bay Management Areas
- Monitoring, reporting
- Coordinating salmon cohorts
- Chemical Treatments
- Fallowing
- Wrasse
Sea lice - the use of “cleaner” fish

- Increasing use of wrasse
- Interest in Norway, Ireland, Scotland and Atlantic Canada
- In 1990s 1 wrasse per 50 salmon. Currently 1 wrasse per 25 salmon. Trials undergoing on 1 wrasse per 10 salmon.
- Increasing use of wrasse on salmon production units in Norway and Ireland with development of wrasse aquaculture
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Second Model: Individual-Based Model formulated in Anylogic
Development and survival of lice depends on water temperature
Individual-Based Model formulated in Anylogic

- Effect of temperature on stage development and survival based on meta-analysis by Stein et al 2005
- Fish inspected weekly and if mobiles exceed a limit e.g. 4 lice per fish then a chemical treatment applied
- Treatment effectiveness flexible e.g. 95%
- Wrasse predate at a constant rate e.g. 30 lice per day
- Ratio of wrasse to salmon flexible e.g. 1:200, 1:100, 1:50, 1:25, 1:10, 0
Results for low reinfection and high external infection
External infection

Low = 0.1 lice/day
High = 1 lice/day

Reinfection

Low = 10% of copepodids find a host
High = 100% of copepodids find a host
Results for low reinfection and high external infection at different wrasse ratios

- **No control of sea lice**

- **Wrasse: Salmon 1:50**
  - 15 treatments required

- **Treated if mobiles > 4 lice**
  - 10 treatments required

- **Treated if mobiles > 4 lice and Wrasse: Salmon is 1:50**
Individual-Based Model findings

- Individual-based models are useful for mimicking complex, stochastic processes with dynamic and pulsed effects.
- Cleaner fish have the potential to reduce the average number of chemical treatments in salmon production systems.
- Wrasse can be effective at controlling infestations that arise from both external and internal sources.
Finally... which model is better - Compartmental Population or Individual-Based?

“errors using inadequate data are much less than those using no data”

Charles Babbage (1792-1871)

“essentially, all models are wrong, but some are useful.”

George Box (1919-2013)

“The purpose of models is not to fit the data but to sharpen the questions.”

Samuel Karlin (1924-2007)
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