Analysis of Biomarker Responses in Phase I Study of rhlL-18 in Combination with Rituximab in Non-Hodgkin's Lymphoma to Support Phase 2 Dose Selection

H. Struemper (1), J. Bauman (2), F. Germaschewski (3), Z. Jonak (2), S. Murray (2), S. Williams (2), M.J. Robertson (4), J.F. Toso (2)

(1) Clinical Pharmacology, Modeling & Simulation, GlaxoSmithKline, RTP, NC; (2) Biopharmaceutical Unit, Discovery Medicine, GlaxoSmithKline, RTP, NC and Philadelphia, PA; (3) Biomarker Discovery, GlaxoSmithKline, Stevenage, UK; (4) Division of Hematology/Oncology, Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis, IN.

Introduction

 IL-18 is an immunostimulatory cytokine regulating both adaptive and innate immune responses.

 Recombinant human IL-18 (rhIL-18) has demonstrated anti-tumor activity in several preclinical models.

• The current open-label, dose-escalation Phase 1 study evaluates the safety and biological activity of rhIL-18 in combination with rituximab in patients with CD20+ B cell non-Hodgkin's lymphoma.

 IL-18 has the potential to augment the cytotoxic effects of rituximab, (i) by directly enhancing antibody-dependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity (ADCC) through NK cell and monocyte activation and (ii) by inducing a sustained tumor-antigenspecific T cell and antibody response through its stimulatory effects on the adaptive immune response [1]

Objectives

 Elucidate pleiotropic rhlL-18 activity using an extensive panel of plasma based biomarkers (BM)

Prioritize BMs for future studies

• Support dose selection for a future Phase 2 study in the absence of dose-limiting toxicities and clear efficacy signal due to low patient numbers

Methods

Data

• Subjects received weekly IV infusions of Rituximab (375 mg/m2) on Day 1 of Weeks 1 to 4.

 rhIL-18 was administered as weekly IV infusions on Day 2 of Weeks 1 to 12 in ascending doses from 1-100 μg/kg

• rhIL-18 plasma PK profiles were sampled in Weeks 1, 4, 8 and 12

• Flow cytometry BMs were sampled pre-Rituximab dose, pre-rhIL-18 dose, 4, 48 and 168 hours after rhIL-18 dose in Weeks 1, 4, 8 and 12:

- Cell counts: total lymphocytes, B cells, CD4/8+ T cells, and CD16+ CD56+ NK cells
- Cell surface markers: CD69 , CD95L and IL-18R on CD4+ T cells, CD8+ T cells, NK cells and other immune cells

• Soluble mediators were sampled pre-rhlL-18 dose and 4 hrs after rhlL-18 dose in Weeks 1 to12:

 \bullet Cytokines and chemokines: INF- $\gamma,$ TNF- $\alpha,$ GM-CSF, IL-1, IL-2, IL-6, IL-10, IL-12; MIG, MCP-1, IP-10.

PK/PD analysis

• Biomarker models are based on change from baseline due to large inter-individual and inter-occasion variability of BM measurements.

• Models for BM exposure response relationships were fit using the *nls* and *nlme* functions of S-Plus 7.0.

• Emax model (*Emax* = maximal response, *C* = rhIL-18 concentration, *h*=Hill coefficient, *EC50* = concentration at half maximal response):

% change from baseline =
$$\frac{\text{Emax } \text{C}^{hc}}{EC50^{hc} + \text{C}^{hc}}$$

• Bell-shaped model (µ = concentration at maximal response, w = width of response):

fold change from baseline = Emax $\exp\left(-\frac{(C-\mu)^2}{w^2}\right)$



 A total of 19 subjects received increasing doses of rhIL-18 in 6 cohorts (Fig. 1)





Figure 1: Profile of median rhIL-18 concentrations by dose group

Flow cytometry

 BMs showed a fast (relative to rhIL-18 half-life) and reversible response; time matched rhIL-18 concentrations were used to represent exposure

No marked, systematic increase or attenuation of responses between cycles

 Among flow cytometry BMs cell counts (total lymphocytes, CD4/8+ T cells, and NK cells) had most robust response pattern: a sharp drop of plasma cell counts at 4 hrs followed by a rebound to baseline levels at 48 hrs (Fig. 2)

 NK cells responded most strongly to rhIL-18 stimulation in terms of their 4 hour response (Emax = -100%, EC90 = 47 ng/mL; see Fig. 3) followed by CD8+ T cells (Emax = -74%, EC90 = 25 ng/mL) and CD4+ T cells (Emax = -71%, EC90 = 190 ng/mL). Due to high variability in BM measurements estimates are associated with relatively high degree of uncertainty.



Figure 2: Profile of mean CD8+ T cells response by by patient averaged over rhIL-18 treatment cycles



Figure 3: Mean CD16+ CD56+ NK cell exposure response: Cell counts and rhIL-18 concentration at 4 hrs post dose by patient averaged over treatment cycles

 Cell surface markers: CD69+ NK cells showed a pattern of dose dependent increase with maximal response achieved for doses at 20 µg/kg and above (results not shown).

GlaxoSmithKline

Cytokines and Chemokines

 Most soluble mediators showed potent and repeated stimulation by successive rhIL-18 cycles, with a subset of patients showing especially high peak levels after the first dose of rhIL-18.

 IL-6, IP-10, MCP-1, and MIG had a bell-shaped response pattern with the 100 µg/kg dose cohort typically having sub-maximal response (Fig. 4)

 \bullet IL-8 and TNF- α exhibited an approximately linear increase with dose; other soluble markers did not show a clear exposure response pattern



Figure 4: MCP-1 exposure response: MCP-1 concentration versus rhIL-18 concentration at 4 hrs post dose by patient averaged over treatment cycles

Conclusions

• The drop/rebound pattern for cell counts is consistent with rhIL-18 stimulated extravasation of immune cells into peripheral tissues and replenishment through recycling via the lymphatic system and neogenesis. Data from a single biopsy showed increased presence of activated lymphocytes in tumor tissue after rhIL-18 treatment. However, other mechanism of sequestration, such as temporary adhesion to vessel walls, cannot be ruled out without additional data.

• BM analysis confirmed appropriateness of selected rhIL-18 dosing regimen

• Based on their response patterns and dynamics BMs (e.g. CD8+ T cell and NK cell counts) were prioritized for inclusion in the Phase 2 study.

 Doses close to 30 μg/kg were identified as optimal in terms of overall rhIL-18 biological activity taking into account the varied rhIL-18 dose response pattern of the different BM types.

• The BM analysis in this Phase1 study provided crucial support for the design of a future Phase 2 study by confirming the selected dosing regimen. guiding the dose selection and prioritizing biomarkers.

References

[1] Logan, TF and Robertson MJ. Interleukins 18 and 21: Biology, Mechanisms of Action, Toxicity, and Clinical Activity. Current Oncology Reports 2006, 8:114–119.

Acknowledgements

We thank Rebecca Mickalites, Melissa Becker, Jill Weisenbach, Roxanne Salisbury, Steve Trulli, Yi-Jiun Chen, Susan Koehler, Mark Woodruff, Vince Barnett, & Patrick Stump