

How can routinely collected medication information from phase II/III trials be used for screening of potential effects on model parameters? A case study with the oral direct thrombin inhibitor Dabigatran etexilate

K.-H. Liesenfeld¹, J. Stangier¹, H.G. Schaefer¹, A. Staab¹
 (1) Boehringer Ingelheim Pharma GmbH & Co KG



Objective

- Development of a process that allows screening for the impact of comedication(s) (CM) on model parameters using comedication information routinely collected during phase II/III.
- The process should be exemplarily applied using data from a phase II study with dabigatran etexilate.

Methods

A. Standard process for inclusion of comedication data into a NONMEM dataset

I. Concomitant Therapy (CT) information collection and coding

eCRF page: CONTHERAPY

routinely collected comedication information is:

Trade name or ingredient name	Start date	End date
e.g. Nizoral (Ketoconazole)	18.03.2006	25.03.2006
		e.g. Blastomycosis

Additional information (if any):

Reason for use or reason for change:



Regional Coding Centre (RCC) assigns a unique code to the comedication using the WHO drug dictionary (WHO-DD)

Code and dates are entered in the clinical database (e.g. O*^oC)

IV. CM data extraction

- Another SAS program¹ extracts from the clinical database of a study of interest the start and stop dates for each of the trade/ingredient names of each SSCs.
- Rules for replacement are defined to deal with missing or partial start and stop dates.
- Timely overlapping comedication treatment periods for one SSC were collapsed.

B. Application example, Dabigatran etexilate

- The dabigatran dataset from the Phase II study for comedication screening contained 7782 concentrations from 1483 patients.
- Thirty-one SSCs were defined. Of the 31 SSCs 19 were associated with > 5% of the concentrations. These 19 SSCs were investigated using the PopPK model developed before.
- The SSCs were investigated as categorical covariates applying a standard forward inclusion/backward elimination model building strategy.

Results of comedication screening for Dabigatran etexilate

Parameter	Comedication class	Effect
CL/F < 24 hours	Opioids	17 % decreased
CL/F < 24 hours	Antacids	53 % increased
CL/F > 24 hours	Antacids	12 % increased
CL/F > 24 hours	Proton pump inhibitors	8 % increased
V/F	Antiemetics	28 % increased
V/F	Paracetamol + combinations	9 % decreased

II. Definition of Special Search Categories (SSCs)

- Comedication classes of interest might be e.g. common comedication in the target population or comedication with interaction potential.
- The comedication classes need to be defined as SSC.

Two types of SSCs are possible:

SSCs based on the Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical (ATC) classification system, e.g. ACE inhibitors

SSC: ACE Inhibitors only Mono
 This SSC includes all products of the ATC class listed below:
 ACE inhibitors, plain: ATC3 (C09A)
 0 = no comedication taken
 1 = comedication taken (based on recorded data)
 2 = comedication taken (based on an assumed start and/or stop date)

SSCs based on the drug substance names e.g. all clinical relevant CYP 3A4 inhibitors

SSC: CYP 3A4 inhibitors Mono-Comb
 This SSC includes all single and multiple active ingredients products of the following substances in any salt form:
 Amiodarone, Cimetidine, Citrus Paradisi, Clarithromycin, Diltiazem, Erythromycin, Fluoxetine, Fluvoxamine, Indinavir, Itraconazole, Ketoconazole, Mibefradil, Nefazodone, Nelfinavir, Ritonavir, Saquinavir, Troleandomycin, Verapamil
 0 = no comedication taken
 1 = comedication taken (based on recorded data)
 2 = comedication taken (based on an assumed start and/or stop date)

- Notes:
- Define whether single or multiple ingredient products should be included
 - Define which salt forms or enantiomers should be included
 - Extensive literature research is necessary for comprehensive definitions

III. Generation of SSCs via a SAS program

A SAS program was developed that generates for each SSC the WHO-DD code numbers of all respective trade names/ingredient names.

V. CM dataset assembling

ID	TIME	DATE1	TAD	AMT	DV	AGE	CRCL	CM1	CM2	CM3
1	10:52	03.12.2002	0	50	0	71	42.6	0	1	0
1	12:37	03.12.2002	1.75	0	9.5	71	42.6	0	1	0
1	15:15	03.12.2002	4.38	0	25.2	71	42.6	0	1	0
1	20:00	03.12.2002	0	50	0	71	42.6	0	1	0
1	08:30	04.12.2002	0	50	0	71	42.6	0	1	0
1	20:00	04.12.2002	0	50	0	71	42.6	0	1	0
1	08:00	05.12.2002	0	50	0	71	42.6	0	1	1
1	20:12	05.12.2002	0	50	0	71	42.6	0	1	1
1	07:59	06.12.2002	0	50	0	71	42.6	0	1	1
1	08:40	06.12.2002	0.68	0	19.8	71	42.6	0	1	1
1	11:37	06.12.2002	3.63	0	31.8	71	42.6	0	1	1
1	14:10	06.12.2002	6.18	0	25.1	71	42.6	0	1	1
1	20:00	06.12.2002	12.02	0	17.7	71	42.6	0	1	1

The SAS program compares each dataset record with the study specific listing and an identifier is assigned to all records between start and stop date +1 day for a recorded comedication defined in a SSC.

Results:

Standard process for inclusion of comedication data into a NONMEM dataset

- The SAS code development and the literature research to define SSCs based on substance names (e.g. clinical relevant P-gp inhibitors) were time consuming.
- Once the process was defined and the standard SSCs were setup the incorporation of the comedication information into the dataset was very fast.

Application example, Dabigatran etexilate

- Three comedications had a statistically significant influence on dabigatran exposure and two on apparent volume of distribution (see table).
- The changes caused by these comedications were not considered clinically relevant because the magnitudes of the effects were minor.

Conclusions:

Standard process for inclusion of comedication data into a NONMEM dataset

- An efficient process was developed and successfully applied to include routinely collected comedication information for screening of drug-drug interactions.
- The SSCs can be applied across studies and projects.

Application example, Dabigatran etexilate

- None of the statistically significant effects were considered clinically relevant.
- None of the other CM classes investigated had a statistically significant influence on steady state exposure, indicating that they can be coadministered with Dabigatran.
- The results obtained for dabigatran were included in the proposed drug label.

Reference:

1. Chowdhury S. et al. PAGE 14 (2005) Abstr 836 [www.page-meeting.org/?abstract=836]