Application of a Semi-Mechanistic, Integrated Glucose-Insulin Model to Graded Glucose Infusion Placebo **Data to translate Glucose Insulin dynamics between Healthy Humans and Non-Human Primates**

Rolien Bosch¹*, Wei Gao², Ryan Vargo², Chandni Valiathan², Craig Fancourt², P.A. Kothare², Maria C. Kjellsson³, Mats O. Karlsson³, Teun Post^{1*}

1 PPDM: Quantitative Pharmacology & Pharmacometrics, MSD Oss B.V., Oss, The Netherlands, 2 PPDM: Quantitative Pharmacology & Pharmacometrics, Merck & Co, US, 3 Pharmetheus, Uppsala, Sweden, * Currently employed by LAP&P Consultants BV, Leiden, The Netherlands Contact: r.bosch@lapp.nl

Introduction

The Integrated Glucose-Insulin (IGI) model [1] is widely used to characterize the effects of antidiabetics. It captures various major metabolic functions involved in the glucose homeostasis, such as:

- First-phase insulin secretion,
- Second-phase insulin secretion,
- Insulin mediated glucose clearance (CLGI),
- Non-insulin mediated glucose clearance (CLG), and
- Endogenous glucose production (glucose-inhibited).

The GGI procedure has been used to estimate beta-cell

Objectives

To develop a foundational framework to extrapolate novel drug effects observed in non-human primates (NHP) to healthy volunteers, utilizing the Graded Glucose Infusion (GGI) study paradigm. The GGI is a simpler method than the hyperglycemic clamp (HGC) for measurement of glucose-dependent insulin secretion (GDIS).

Methods

Placebo glucose and insulin full profile data from three healthy subject GGI studies (N=47) and one NHP GGI study (N=11) were

Study design

Human data:

- Placebo glucose and insulin data from three placebo groups of a randomized, 4-period study using a graded intravenous administration of glucose (N=26).
- A stepwise graded infusion was initiated (t=0) with a stable rate of infusion maintained for 40 minutes at each of 5 steps: 2-, 4-, 6-, 8-, and 12 mg/kg/min. Blood samples for glucose, insulin, and C-peptide were collected every 10 minutes during each glucose infusion step.
- For external qualification insulin and glucose data from another GGI study was used (N=21).

The IGI model has been applied to describe several challenge tests, such as the intravenous glucose tolerance test (IVGTT), oral glucose tolerance tests (OGTT) mixed meal tolerance	 NHP data: For the NHP part of the model, the data included observations of glucose and insulin from the placebo groups of a NHP GGI study (N=11). 								
tests (MMTT) and clamp studies. An important aspect in the development of antidiabetic drugs is to translate the effects of treatment from preclinical species into the clinic. The IGI	 was assessed. The model was evaluated using VPCs and was externally qualified on human GGI data from a separate study. 	Species	Nr of subjects in placebo group	BW range (kg)	Glucose Dose (mg/kg/min)	Time (min)	Glucose range (mg/dL)	Insulin Range (mg/dL)	comment
model has been used for this purpose to translate the clinical glycemic response into Han Wistar rats [3]. This is the the	For the estimation of Insulin secretion (Isec) and beta-cell sensitivity (BCS, slope of insulin secretion vs alucose concentration), the GCI	Human	26	65 - 99	2, 4, 6, 12, 6, 4	0–270	79 - 325	1.9 - 335	Obese subjects ignored
first inclusion of GGI data into the IGI concept, with inclusion	protocol was simulated using the population parameter from the IGI	Human	21	63 - 94	2, 4, 6, 12, 6, 4	0-250	78 - 342	1.8 - 220	external validation
of scaling to a new species; NHP.	model. The steady state solution was used to calculate Isec [2].	NHP	11	8.4 - 16	2, 4, 8, 16	30-180	47-209	2.6-1997	vandation
		Table 1: 0)verview of	data used f	or modeling				
	Model								
Allomatric Scaling and Estimation of parameters for the healthy subjects IVGTT model									
Allometric Scaling and Estimation of parameters for the healthy subjects IVGTT model	Model Parameters				Human			NHP	
Allometric Scaling and Estimation of parameters for the healthy subjects IVGTT model GLUCOSE CONTROL MECHANISMS INSULIN	 Model Parameters CLG, insulin-independent glucose clearance; pat, patient-specific parameter; 	Param	eter	Final Model	Human 90% CI from boots N=500	trap	Final Model	NHP 90' from b N=	% CI ootstrap 500
Allometric Scaling and Estimation of parameters for the healthy subjects IVGTT model GLUCOSE CONTROL MECHANISMS INSULIN GPRG - Glucose effect on secretion CL and Q scaling *(BWr/ k scaling *(rBW/hBW) ^{-0.}	 Model Parameters CLG, insulin-independent glucose clearance; pat, patient-specific parameter; (BWh)^{0.75} CLGI, insulin-dependent glucose clearance; CLI, insulin-dependent glucose clearance; CLI, insulin clearance; 	Param CLGI (L/min	eter (mU/L))	Final Model 0.0038	Human 90% CI from bootst N=500 0.00318 - 0.0	trap	Final Model	NHP 90' from b N= 0.00019	% CI ootstrap 500 – 0.00033
Allometric Scaling and Estimation of parameters for the healthy subjects IVGTT model GLUCOSE GRG Glucose effect on secretion Glucose production Glucose production Glucose production Glucose production Glucose production Glucose Glucose FRG Glucose FRG Glucose FRG Glucose FRG Glucose FRG FRG FRG FRG FRG FRG FRG FRG	 Model Parameters CLG, insulin-independent glucose clearance; pat, patient-specific parameter; CLGI, insulin-dependent glucose clearance; CLGI, insulin-dependent glucose clearance; CLI, insulin clearance; GPRG, control parameter for glucose effect on glucose production; IPRG, control parameter for the effect of glucose on insulin 	Param CLGI (L/min IPRG (–)	eter (mU/L)) IIV	Final Model 0.0038 24% 2.98	Human 90% CI from bootst N=500 0.00318 - 0.0	trap	Final Model 0.0003 2.94	NHP 90 ⁻ from b N= 0.00019 2.27	% CI ootstrap 500 - 0.00033 - 3.61
Allometric Scaling and Estimation of parameters for the healthy subjects IVGTT model GLUCOSE CONTROL MECHANISMS INSULIN GLUCOSE GUCOSE GUCOSE effect Glucose production Glucose Today (BWr/k scaling *(BW/hBW) ^{-0.} V scaling * (rBW/hBW) ^{-0.} V scaling * (rBW/hBW) ^{-0.} Secretion Secretion Secretion Secretion Secretion	 Model Parameters CLG, insulin-independent glucose clearance; pat, patient-specific parameter; CLGI, insulin-dependent glucose clearance; CLGI, insulin-dependent glucose clearance; CLI, insulin clearance; GPRG, control parameter for glucose effect on glucose production; IPRG, control parameter for the effect of glucose on insulin secretion; kGE1, kGE2, and kIE, rate constants of the effect compartments; 	Param CLGI (L/min IPRG (–) GPRG (–)	eter (mU/L)) IIV IIV	Final Model 0.0038 24% 2.98 26%	Human 90% CI from bootst N=500 0.00318 - 0.0	trap	Final Model 0.0003 2.94 -19.9	NHP 90 from t N= 0.00019 2.27 -44.2	% CI ootstrap <u>500</u> - 0.00033 - 3.61 13.6
Allometric Scaling and Estimation of parameters for the healthy subjects IVGTT model	 Model Parameters CLG, insulin-independent glucose clearance; pat, patient-specific parameter; CLGI, insulin-dependent glucose clearance; CLGI, insulin-dependent glucose clearance; CLI, insulin clearance; GPRG, control parameter for glucose effect on glucose production; IPRG, control parameter for the effect of glucose on insulin secretion; kGE1, kGE2, and kIE, rate constants of the effect compartments; Q, intercompartmental clearance of glucose; 	Param CLGI (L/min IPRG (–) GPRG (–) GSS (mU/L)	eter (mU/L)) IIV IIV	Final Model 0.0038 24% 2.98 26% 92.0	Human 90% CI from bootst N=500 0.00318 - 0.0 2.71 - 3.2 89.8 - 94	trap 00448 25	Final Model 0.0003 2.94 -19.9 66.5	NHP 90 from t N= 0.00019 2.27 -44.2 64.2	% CI ootstrap 500 - 0.00033 - 3.61 13.6 - 68.6
Allometric Scaling and Estimation of parameters for the healthy subjects IVGTT model	 Model Parameters CLG, insulin-independent glucose clearance; pat, patient-specific parameter; CLGI, insulin-dependent glucose clearance; CLI, insulin clearance; GPRG, control parameter for glucose effect on glucose production; IPRG, control parameter for the effect of glucose on insulin secretion; KGE1, KGE2, and KIE, rate constants of the effect compartments; Q, intercompartmental clearance of glucose; RESG, and RESI, residual errors for total glucose, and insulin; 	Param CLGI (L/min IPRG (-) GPRG (-) GSS (mU/L)	eter (mU/L)) IIV IIV	Final Model 0.0038 24% 2.98 26% 92.0 6%	Human 90% CI from bootst N=500 0.00318 - 0.0 2.71 - 3.2 89.8 - 94	25 .2	Final Model 0.0003 2.94 -19.9 66.5 6%	NHP 90 from t N= 0.00019 2.27 -44.2 64.2	% CI ootstrap 500 - 0.00033 - 3.61 13.6 - 68.6
Allometric Scaling and Estimation of parameters for the healthy subjects IVGTT model	 Model Parameters CLG, insulin-independent glucose clearance; pat, patient-specific parameter; CLGI, insulin-dependent glucose clearance; CLI, insulin clearance; GPRG, control parameter for glucose effect on glucose production; IPRG, control parameter for the effect of glucose on insulin secretion; kGE1, kGE2, and kIE, rate constants of the effect compartments; Q, intercompartmental clearance of glucose; RESG, and RESI, residual errors for total glucose, and insulin; VI, volume of distribution of insulin; 	Param CLGI (L/min IPRG (–) GPRG (–) GSS (mU/L) ISS	eter (mU/L)) IIV IIV IIV	Final Model 0.0038 24% 2.98 26% 92.0 6% 5.09 35%	Human 90% CI from bootst N=500 0.00318 - 0.0 2.71 - 3.2 89.8 - 94 4.48 - 5.6	trap 00448 25 .2	Final Model 0.0003 2.94 -19.9 66.5 6% 24.1 15%	NHP 90 from t N= 0.00019 2.27 -44.2 64.2 17.9	% CI ootstrap 500 - 0.00033 - 3.61 13.6 - 68.6 - 34.5



Figure 1. Schematic overview of the IGI model [1] and its parameters. First-phase insulin secretion was not observed in the GGI data and was omited from the model.

 Estimated parameters are in Table 2, other parameters are fixed to the final estimates determined based on intravenous provocations by Silber et al. [1].

ESI 0.300 0.225 - 0.375 0.654 0.409 - 0.806

Table 2. * RSE, relative standard error in % calculated from Bootstrap results; IIV, interindividual variability.

Results

- First-phase insulin secretion was absent in GGI, which is anticipated as the glucose levels are slowly increasing.
- The CLGI and IPRG were estimated in combination with GSS and ISS and residual RESG and RESI for both NHP and human data.
- Based on the VPC and external qualification the final IGI model for each species adequately described the human and NHP GGI data.
- Species differences between NPH and humans were observed for CLGI, IPRG and GPRG estimates. The GPRG is much stronger in NHP compared to human (-19.9 vs. -2.97, respectively). IPRG seems to be comparable, although insulin levels in NHP reach much higher levels after glucose infusion. The high effect of GPRG estimated for NHP could be the model compensating for the absence of an insulin effect on the glucose production (GPRI) [5].
- ISR and BCS are in line with observed data. Differences observed in ACoP poster [2] using the Silber model parameters (Fig. 3A) are not visible any more after model update (Fig. 3B).



Conclusions & Perspectives

- The IGI was optimized to human GGI placebo data and allometrically scaled and optimized to the NHP GGI placebo data. The presented model is also an extension of the IGI model to NHPs and to a GGI application.
- This foundational framework for interspecies translation of placebo GGI data can be used as a starting point in the drug discovery setting, to explore the effect of novel diabetes treatments on GDIS and ISR from animal to human.

[1] Silber HE, Jauslin PM, Frey N, Gieschke R, Simonsson USH, Karlsson MO. An integrated model for glucose and insulin regulation in healthy volunteers and type 2 diabetic patients following intravenous glucose provocations. J Clin Pharmacol 2007;47:1159-71.

[2] Craig Fancourt, Wei Gao, Rolien Bosch, Chandni Valiathan, Ryan Vargo, P.A. Kothare, Teun Post, Application of the Integrated Glucose-Insulin Model to the Graded Glucose Infusion and Glucose Clamp Studies, ACOP 2014.

[3] Alskär et al. Using allometric scaling on an integrated glucose insulin model for humans to investigate anti-diabetics drug effects in rats, PAGE 21 (2012) Abstr 2540 [www.page-meeting.org/?abstract=2540]

[4] Byrne et al. (1995). Insulin secretion and clearance during low-dose graded glucose infusion. American Journal of Physiology-Endocrinology and Metabolism, 31(1), E21.

[5] Hanna E. Silber, Nicolas Frey, Mats Karlsson. An integrated Glucose-Insulin Model to Describe Oral Glucose Tolerance Test Data in Healthy Volunteers. J Clin Pharmacol 2010;50:246-256