Pharmacokinetic Variability of the Absorption of Enoxaparin Used Subcuteanously for Venous Thromboembolism Prophylaxis

S. Chantel (1,2), P. Martin (1,2), P.Y. Petit (3), D. Massignon (4), X. Dode (1), P. Maire (5), R.W. Jelliffe (6), G. Aulagner (1,2) (1) Department of Pharmacy, Hópital Cardiologique, Bron, France, (2) EA 3452, University H. Poincaré, Nancy, France, (3) Department of Anaesthesia, Centre Hospitalier Lyon-Sud, Pierre-Bénite, France, (4) Department of Haematology, Centre Hospitalier Lyon-Sud, Pierre-Bénite, France, (5) ADCAPT, Department of Pharmacy, Hópital A. Charial, Francheville, France, (6) Laboratory of Applied Pharmacokinetics, University of South California, Los Angeles, USA

Introduction

➤ The use of enoxaparin for the prophylaxis of venous thromboembolism (VTE) after orthopaedic surgery leads to an important pharmacokinetic variability, particularly for the absorption of the drug.

> The aim of this study was to **determine the influence of morphological patients' characteristics on the absorption** (absorbed fraction - Fa, absorption rate constant - Ka) **of enoxaparin used subcuteaneously** for VTE prophylaxis in orthopaedic surgery.

Patients and methods

➤ Sixty-nine patients (57 for total hip replacement, 12 for total knee replacement) were treated with **subcutaneous enoxaparin, 4000 IU od at 7 am**. Enoxaparin was administered according to the recommendations for the method of administration of the summary of product characteristics of Lovenox[®] (Sanofi-Aventis).

> Three blood samples for anti-Xa activity measurement were taken in each patient. Population pharmacokinetic analysis was performed using the **NPEM2 program** (version 11.7), with a **one-compartment linear model** which was found to best describe the distribution of pharmacokinetic parameters in the population.

➤ Individual parameters (Fa, Ka, clearance) were estimated by MAP (Maximum A posteriori Probability) Bayesian method. Correlations between each individual parameter value and the patient's covariates (weight, height, body mass index - BMI, body surface area - BSA, overweight, ...) were tested.

| Characteristic | Mean | Standard deviation | Range | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------|--------------------|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| Age (years) | 65 | 13 | 36-84 | | | | |
| Males / females | 38/31 | - | - | | | | |
| Body weight (kg) | 79 | 14 | 53-120 | | | | |
| Height (cm) | 168 | 9 | 150-183 | | | | |
| BMI (kg/m²) | 26.9 | 3.3 | 18.8-33.8 | | | | |
| CrCl (ml/min/1.73m ²) | 78 | 21 | 25-134 | | | | |
| Table 1 – Patients' characteristics | | | | | | | |

19 patients (28%) \rightarrow BMI > 30 kg/m²



Obese patients

 Variation
 Table 2 – NPEM estimates of population pharmacokinetic parameters

 Age
 Weight
 Height
 BMI
 BSA
 Overweight
 PIBW

 r = 0.032
 r = 0.032
 r = -0.055
 r = -0.063
 r = -0.000
 r = 0.045
 r = 0.055

 NS
 NS
 NS
 NS
 NS
 NS
 NS

CI (l/h)

0.983

0.449

46%

Median

Standard

deviation Coefficient of Vol (I)

3.8

2.6

68%

Fa

0.774

0.169

22%

Ka (h-1)

0.781

0.347

61%

| Ка | r = 0.032 | r = 0.032 | r = -0.055 | r = 0.063 | r = -0.000 | r = 0.045 | r = 0.055 | | |
|--|-----------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|--|--|
| | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | | |
| Fabs | r = 0.032 | r = -0.282 | r = -0.273 | r = -0.145 | r = -0.308 | r = -0.171 | r = -0.131 | | |
| | NS | p = 0.02 | p = 0.05 | NS | p = 0.02 | NS | NS | | |
| Table 3 – Correlations between individual pharmacokinetic parameters and patients' covariate | | | | | | | | | |

Overweight = Weight - Ideal Body Weight PIBW (Percentage above Ideal Body Weight) = Overweight / Body Weight x NS: one elmilificant

> The multiple regression analysis shows that the clearance is mainly explained by 2 independent factors: the body weight (p=0.03) and the absorbed fraction (p<0.001).

➤ Enoxaparin is absorbed in a lesser fraction in the heaviest patients than in the lightest

Conclusion

➤ The absorption of enoxaparin is clearly related to the patients' weight, and this could explain why the exposure to the drug is less in the heaviest patients than in the lightest. Therefore, a weight-adapted dose may reduce the pharmacokinetic variability of enoxaparin.



