Towards a cell-level model to predict bacterial growth under antimicrobial perturbation

Christoph Hethey

(Graduate Research Training Program PharMetrX, Institut für Biochemie und Biologie, Universität Potsdam), Charlotte Kloft (Institute of Pharmacy, Freie Universität Berlin), Wilhelm Huisinga (Institut für Mathematik, Universität Potsdam)

1 Objective

How can we include antimicrobial effects of drugs in bacterial growth models accounting for different mechanisms of action?

Rather than including antibiotic action only empirically on population growth, we create a new layer of detail on the single cell level. This single cell level should simultaneously allow for the

a) mechanistic integration of antibiotic effects,

b) prediction of population growth.

Universita

• Porsdam



4 Application

Tetracycline is a bacteriostatic antibiotic for most *E. coli* strains. It blocks the aa-tRNA binding site (A-site) at the 30S subunit of ribosomes, which leads to a halt during the elongation phase of translation. This results in a lower peptide chain elongation rate c_{p_2} , compared to preshift state c_{p_1} .

PharMetr

The extent of this inhibition was measured *in vitro* [6] and described by an inhibitory E_{max} model. C_{50} had to be corrected due to experimental differences between [6] and [3].



Our approach is illustrated by simulating the evolution of population counts over time (time-kill curve data) for constant tetracycline exposure to *E. coli*.

2 Background

Bacterial cell cycle phases are defined by breakpoints at which either chromosome replication or cell septation starts or finishes (See figure 1).



Figure 1: Relative cell age a [0-1] and cell cycle periods B, C and D during slow growth. Points of origin for chromosome replication as red dots.

Additionally the biochemical composition and macroscopic parameters (e.g. cell size) of the reference cell change with varying growth rates [4].

Figure 2: Cell descriptors, their symbol and biological context.

Adaptation processes were described by a continuous change of the last six elements of **Par** over $\tau = 60 \ln 2/\mu$ (Figure 3). Data refer to *E. coli* B/r [1] and were measured under balanced growth condition.



Figure 3: Cell descriptors as functions of mean replication time τ . Data as red crosses, fit in blue.

3.3 Growth rate as a function of cell state vector

Empiric relation between growth-limiting parameters (Figure 3) and growth rate constant μ [8, 1].

$C_{50} + C_{drug}$

With $I_{max} = c_{p_1} \in \mathbf{Par}(\mu_1)$, $C_{50} = 0.0005 \text{ mg} / \text{mL}$, $\gamma = 1.42$

Time-kill data were extracted from literature [3], $\mu_{max}(=\mu_1)$, t_{lag} and N_0 were taken from control experiment.



Figure 6: **Upper panel:** Control experiment. Data in red, simulation in blue. Left: Input parameters μ_{max} and t_{lag} . Right: Time-kill curve. Note: Timespan 12 h. **Lower panel:** Constant exposure 0.004 mg / mL. Data in red, simulation in blue. Note: Timespan 24 h.

Predictions were in agreement with experimental data.

Fundamental observation [4, 7]: Nutrient limitation leads to adaptation processes. The functional relationship between growth rate and cell state is invertible. However, many different nutrient media can lead to the same growth rate. This relation is not invertible. We assumed, that bacteria adapt their cell state in the same way to certain antibiotics as they do to nutrient limitation.

A population of N bacterial cells [CFU / mL], grows with rate constant μ in [1 / h], assuming no environmental limitations.

In transition between the typical phases of a bacterial population (lag, log, stationary and decay-phase) μ is not constant, but shifting:

 $\mu_{\text{lag}} \xrightarrow{\text{shift-up}} \mu_{\text{log}} \xrightarrow{\text{shift-down}} \mu_{\text{stationary}} \xrightarrow{\text{shift-down}} \mu_{\text{decay}}$

If $d\mu/dt = 0$, the so called intensive properties of the reference cell (e.g. mass or ribosomes per cell) are constant, while the extensive ones (e.g. population mass or cell number) grow exponentially. We call this condition **balanced growth**.

3 Methodological approach

Antibiotic action modeled as a shift-down and determination of post-shift growth rate constant μ_2 .

 $\mu_1 \xrightarrow{\mathbf{Par}(\mu_1)} \mathbf{Par} \xrightarrow{E_{max}} \mathbf{Par} \xrightarrow{\mu_2(\mathbf{Par})} \mu_2$

 $\mu_2 = \frac{60}{[(nucl./prib.)(aa/pol.)/(1-f_t)]^{0.5}} (\Psi_s \alpha_p \beta_p \beta_r c_s c_p)^{0.5}$

A consistency check ($\mu(\mathbf{Par}(\mu)) = \mu$) showed good correlation ($R^2 > 0.99$ for $0.2 \le \mu \le 2.0$).

3.4 Transition rate constant

A transit compartment model was used for cell cycle simulations. To determine the number of compartments N_c and transit rate μ_{tran} , we solved variance $\sigma^2 = N_c/\mu_{tran}^2$ and $\tau = N_c/\mu_{tran}$ by substitution - knowing the coefficient of variation $c_v = \sigma/\tau = 22\%$ [5], with standard deviation σ .

Knowing the age distribution during balanced growth [2], we initialized the pre-shift state (Figure 4, (1)). Each compartment had an index i, relative age a_i , frequency F_i , cell number N_i and state $P_i \in \{B, C, D\}$. The post-shift initialization (Figure 4, (2)) was derived from this distribution (exact calculation not shown).



5 Summary

Flow of information and algorithm to generate time-kill curve data.



Estimate μ₁ from control experiment during balanced growth.
Compute corresponding cell state Par using Par(μ₁).
Modify elements of Par according to C_{drug}.
Compute corresponding growth rate μ₂ using μ₂(Par).
Compute transition rate constant α.
Solve dµ/dt and dN/dt.

References

[1] Hans Bremer and Patrick P Dennis. Modulation of Chemical Composition and Other Parameters of the Cell by Growth Rate. In F C Neidhardt, editor, *Escherichia Coli Salmonella Typhimurium Vol 2 Cell. Mol. Biol.*, number 122, chapter 96, pages 1527–1540. American Society for Microbiology, 2. edition, 1996.

Starting with μ_1 for $C_{drug} = 0$, $Par(\mu_1)$ describes Par as a function of μ_1 , whereas $\mu_2(Par)$ returns the corresponding growth rate constant μ_2 . An E_{max} model modifies Par according to C_{drug} . To describe the change of μ over time t we introduced a transition rate constant α [1 / h].

 $d\mu/dt = \alpha(\mu_2 - \mu(t))$

 $dN/dt = \mu(t)N(t)$

3.1 Cell state vector

The values describing a reference cell with median age were summarized in the cell state vector **Par** (Figure 2).

 $\mathbf{Par} = \{nucl./prib., aa/pol., f_t, \beta_r, c_s, \Psi_s, \alpha_p, \beta_p, c_p, B, C, D\}$

3.2 Cell state vector as a function of growth rate

The first four elements of **Par** were constant for a wide range of growth rates ($0.2 < \mu < 2.0$).

Figure 4: Initial cell numbers in transit compartment model for pre- and post-shift condition. Here: Shift-down.



Figure 5: Age distribution during shift-down ($\mu_1 = 2 \rightarrow \mu_2 = 1$). Left: Cell numbers for each compartment over time, in red mean age of the population. Right: In red mean age over time, in blue envelope fit.

The mean age \overline{a} of the population showed damped oscillation with two envelope functions $f(t) = a + b \exp(\alpha t)$ (See figure 5). Transition rate constant α was defined as the mean of both fits. Also $\alpha = \mu_2$ gave a good approximation [9]. [2] Stephen Cooper. Bacterial Growth and Division. Academic Press, 1991.

[3] W. A. Hussin and W. M. El-Sayed. Synergic Interaction Between Selected Botanical Extracts and Tetracycline Against Gram Positive and Gram Negative Bacteria. J. Biol. Sci., 11(7):433–441, 2011.

[4] Stefan Klumpp, Zhongge Zhang, and Terence Hwa. Growth rate-dependent global effects on gene expression in bacteria. *Cell*, 139(7):1366–75, December 2009.

[5] L D Plank and J D Harvey. Generation Time Statistics of Escherichia coli B Measured by Synchronous Culture Techniques. *J. Gen. Microbiol.*, 115(1):69–77, November 1979.

[6] B A Rasmussen, Y Gluzman, and F P Tally. Inhibition of protein synthesis occurring on tetracycline-resistant, TetMprotected ribosomes by a novel class of tetracyclines, the glycylcyclines. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.*, 38(7):1658– 1660, July 1994.

[7] B Y M Schaechter and N Kjeldgaard. Dependency on Medium and Temperature of Cell Size and Chemical Composition during Balanced Growth of Salmonella typhimurium. J. gen. Microbiol., 19:592–606, 1958.

[8] Robert Schleif. Control of production of ribosomal protein. J. Mol. Biol., 27(1):41–55, July 1967.

[9] I a M Swinnen, K Bernaerts, E J J Dens, a H Geeraerd, and J F Van Impe. Predictive modelling of the microbial lag phase: a review. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.*, 94(2):137–59, July 2004.

Contact:

Christoph Hethey Computational Physiology Group University of Potsdam Institute of Mathematics Wissenschaftspark Golm Karl-Liebknecht-Str. 24-25, D-14476 Potsdam/Golm Building 28, Room 2.111

Email: hethey@uni-potsdam.de Phone: +49 331 977 5942 Skype: christoph.hethey